

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/883,790

Applicant(s)

Vacek et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Mark S. Graham

Art Unit **3711**

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period f	or Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
If the p If NO p Failure Any rep	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 15, 2002</u>	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This action is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
-	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s)	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	·
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	
8) 💢		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The second of the second of the second to be the Everynines	
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)□	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examine	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
The state of the s		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachr		•
	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) 🔲 N	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
л. П.	of american Discleause Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/883,790

Art Unit: 3711

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a bat, classified in class 473, subclass 567.
- II. Claims 9-16, drawn to a method of making a bat, classified in class 473, subclass 567.
- 1. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

 distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

 used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

 made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the bat

 as claimed may be made by a different process not involving a mandrel such as by using a mold

 wherein air pressure is used in place of a mandrel.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Richard Billion on 5/17/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/883,790

Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 308-1355.

MSG June 6, 2002 Mark S. Graham Primary Examiner

,